

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8818	
10/087,775	03/05/2002		Niro Inaba	0760-0303P		
2292	7590	07/23/2004		EXAMINER		
BIRCH ST PO BOX 74		DLASCH & BIR	RAO, MANJUNATH N			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1652		
				DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/087,775	INABA ET AL.	
navioory notion	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D.	1652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official intelly filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official intelly filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounth is shortened statutory period for reply once later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final of	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in the appeal.	
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims	3 .
NOTE: <u>See attached</u> .			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid	lered but does NOT	place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9-15.			
B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.	
D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
0. Other:	.,,-		
		Manjunath N. Rao, P Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652	'h.D.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,775

Art Unit: 1652

Advisory Action

Claims 9-24 are now currently pending in this application. Claim 9-15 remain withdrawn from consideration. Claims 16-24 remain as finally rejected.

Applicant's request for reconsideration filed on 7-13-04 has been considered but <u>NOT ENTERED</u>, as it raises new issues and requires the Examiner to institute new rejections. The amendment has not been entered for the following reasons;

In response to the previous final rejection, applicant has now introduced restricted subject matter. New claim 25 is drawn to a fusion protein comprising a baculovirus coat protein, gp64, having a membrane-spanning domain (which is actually the invention claimed in claims 9-15). Such an invention was restricted in the first Office action sent to the applicant. In response to the restriction, applicant did not elect the Group I invention (claims 9-15) but elected without traverse claims 16-24 which did not comprise fusion proteins having membrane spanning domains in the virus coat protein. The introduction of a restricted subject matter at this stage in the prosecution raises new issues. Therefore the amendment filed on 7-13-04 has not been entered.

Examiner had indeed agreed to consider the proposed claims that were presented to him during the interview. Such claims were field by the applicant on 7-2-03. However, the amendment filed on 7-13-04 which supercedes the amendment filed on 7-2-04 will not be entered for the above reasons.

All previous rejections are maintained for reasons of record.

Art Unit: 1652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0939. The Examiner can normally be reached on 7.00 a.m. to 3.30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Manjunath N. Rao Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652

July 22, 2004